HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17474/2018

CNR: RJHC020735792018 | URN: CW / 29145U / 2018

1. Bhagwan Sahay Sharma S/o Kedar Lal Sharma, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Village Liwali, Tehsil Bamanwas, District Sawai Madhopur, At Present Working On The Post Of Teacher Grade-IIIrd, Level-2 (Hindi) At Govt. Senior Secondary School, Gurjar Badoda, Tehsil Bhamanwas (Sawai Madhopur).
2. Ashok Kumar Vaishnav S/o Shri Ramji Lal Vaishnav, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of Village Gawari Kalan, Post Aliganj, Tehsil Gangapur City, District Sawai Madhopur. At Present Working On The Post Of Teacher Grade-IInd (Sanskrit) At Govt. Senior Secondary School, Amargarh Dang, Tehsil Sapotara (Karauli).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Secondary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director, Secondary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Director, Elementary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Sawai Madhopur.
5. District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Karauli.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17445/2018

CNR: RJHC020735842018 | URN: CW / 29084U / 2018

Gajanand Vashistha S/o Vishnu Dayal Sharma, Aged About 41 Years, Resident Of Near New Telephone Exchange, Mohan Nagar, Hindon City, Tehsil Hindon, District Karauli. At Present Petitioner Working On The Post Of Physical Teacher Grade-III At Govt. Sr. Secondary School Amargarh, Tehsil Gangapur City, District Sawaimadhopur

----Petitioner

Versus

Digitally signed by
TRIBHUWAN NATH
KUSHWAHA
Date: 2026.10.30
12:18:17 IST

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Secondary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur
2. Director, Secondary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Bikaner
3. Director, Elementary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Bikaner
4. District Education Officer, Secnodary Education, Sawai Madhopur

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17480/2018

CNR: RJHC020735772018 | URN: CW / 29160U / 2018

Hanuman Prasad Goyal S/o Shri Hari Prasad Goyal, Aged About 49 Years, Resident Of House No. 93, Kanti Nagar, Near Head Post Office, Gangapurcity, Tehsil Gangapurcity, District Sawai Madhopur. At Present Working On The Post Of Block Elementary Education Officer, Gangapurcity (Sawai Madhopur).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Secondary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director, Secondary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Bikaner
3. District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Sawai Madhopur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Munesh Bhardwaj
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Devansh Sharma, Dy. G.C. Ms. Namita Parihar, Dy.G.C.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

28/10/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that the controversy involved in the present writ petitions is

covered by the order dated 20.01.2014 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3534/2009, titled as ***Yogesh Kumar Pareek Vs. The State of Rajasthan***.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, submits that the petitioners may be permitted to file individual representations before the respondents, with a corresponding direction to the respondents to decide the said representations in light of the observations made in ***Yogesh Kumar Pareek*** (supra).

4. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file individual representations before the respondents.

5. In case the representations are filed within a period of 30 days from today, the respondents shall decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order, in light of the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***Yogesh Kumar Pareek*** (supra), within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt thereof. However, in case any factual difference is found or there exits any reason warranting deviation from the observation made in the aforesaid order, the respondents shall be at liberty to consider the same and pass a speaking and well-reasoned order after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, under intimation to them. The petitioners shall be at liberty to assail the same, in accordance with law.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

100-102/TN