HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 874/2022
CNR: RJHC020693342022 | URN: CCP / 941U / 2022

Kajod Mal Son Of Shri Gahsi Lal Jangid, Aged About 65 Years, Resident Of Behind Badaya Dharmashala, Lad Ka Kua, Ward No. 20, Lalsot, District Dausa (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Sh. Anand Kumar, Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Sh. Qummer Ul Zaman Choudhary (Ias), District Collector, District Dausa (Raj)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ramesh Chandra Jangid
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Choudhary with Mr. Yadvendra Patel for Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

26/10/2026

1. The present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order dated 14.03.2022 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12507/2018.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considered the submissions.

3. Vide order dated 14.03.2022, the Court had allowed the writ petition, setting aside the impugned recovery dated 29.05.2018, and further directed the respondents to release the petitioner’s

pension, if the same was still withheld, along with all consequential benefits in accordance with law.

4. Admittedly, the pensionary benefits have been released to the petitioner.

5. The only grievance raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the pension was released after a delay of 23 months from the date of retirement and no interest has been paid on the arrears arising from the delayed payment.

6. The Court while allowing the writ petition, though theconscious of this fact that the pension would be released by delayed. However, no direction was issued for payment of interest on the delayed payments, which indicates that the Court did not inclined to allow interest for the delayed payments after looking to the facts of the case.

7. Since the petitioner’s pensionary benefits have already been released, as admitted by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the respondents have complied with the order of the Court.

8. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is dismissed.

9. The contempt notices stand discharged.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

42/RASHMI