HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 745/2024
CNR: RJHC020674292024 | URN: CCP / 804U / 2024

1. Brij Kishore Rathore S/o Sh. Prabhu Singh, Aged About 61 Years, Retired Employee From The Office Jen, Phed Amer, (Jaipur), Resident Of Plot No. 62, Jeen Mata Nagar, Harnath Pura, Kalwad Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur.
2. Sukh Deo Choudhary S/o Shri Chandra Ram, Aged About 70 Years, Retired Employee From The Office Jen, Phed Amer, (Jaipur), Resident Of Plot No. 13, Madhav Nagar, Shiv Puri, Nangal Jaisa Bohara, Jaipur.
3. Nathu Ram Saini S/o Shri Kalyan Sahai Saini, Aged About 66 Years, Retired Employee From The Office Jen, Phed Amer, (Jaipur), Village Bhagirath Pura, Post Mohan Pura, Tehsil Bassi, District Jaipur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Dr. Samit Sharma, Ias, Principal Secretary To The Government Public Health Engineering, Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Shri Dinesh Goyal, Chief Engineer(Administration) Public Health Engineering Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur. Jal Bhawan, Hasanpura, Jaipur.
3. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To The Government, Public Health Engineering Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pradeep Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Singh Rathore, Ms. Chelsi Agarwal

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

29/10/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioners alleging non-compliance of the order dated 19.10.2023 passed in

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3895/2013 whereby the Court directed the respondents to constitute a Screening Committee and examine the matter of the petitioners individually strictly in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the directions of the Court, the Screening Committee was constituted and the Committee examined the case of the petitioners individually and they were not found to be eligible in view of the reasons given in the order. He further submits that as directed by this Court, no opportunity of hearing was accorded to the petitioners.

3. Heard.

4. Considered.

5. In a case of scrutiny of an employee for particular purpose, the scrutiny has to be conducted on the basis of the relevant record of such employee and for that no personal hearing is required.

6. In view of the fact that the respondents have constituted a Screening Committee and the case of the petitioners has been considered individually by the Screening Committee vide order dated 30.01.2024, in the opinion of this Court the respondents have made substantial compliance of the Court's order.

7. Hence, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

8. Contempt notices stand discharged.

9. Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

10. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to assail the order of Screening Committee dated 30.01.2024 by availing the remedy available to them under law, in case, they feel aggrieved by the same.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

95/SHRUTI SHARMA