HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12310/2020
CNR: RJHC020609402020 | URN: CW / 22946U / 2020

Kamla Devi W/o Late Sh. Kurdaram Dangi, Aged About 64 Years, R/o Jatabas Ward No.3, Jhunjhunu (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Through Its Chairman And Managing Director Head Office, Parivahan Marg, Chaumu House Jaipur (Rajasthan) - 302 001. E-Mail Cmd.rsrtc@rajasthan.gov.in, Md.rsrtc@rajasthan.gov.in

2. Chief Manager, Office Of Chief Manager, Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Jhunjhunu Depot, Jhunjhunu E-Mail Cmjjn.rsrtc@rajasthan.gov.in

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sanjay Yadav
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Anubodh Jain with Mr. Yagya Narayan Singh

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

27/10/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in the present writ petition is covered by orders passed by Co-ordinate Benches of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8810/2014, titled as ***Tara Chand Vs. RSRTC & Anr.*** decided vide order dated 25.01.2017 at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur and in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.627/2002, titled as ***Prem Singh Vs. Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation and Anr***. decided vide order dated 22.01.2019 at Jaipur Bench.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the aforesaid order dated 25.01.2017 has been affirmed by a Division Bench of this Court in D.B. Civil Special Appeal Writ No.524/2018 decided on 02.12.2021 and against the said order dated 02.12.2021,

Digitally signed by
SAHIL SONI
Date: 2026.10.31
16:02:14 IST

Special Leave Petition No.10330/2022 preferred before the Hon'ble Apex Court, has been dismissed. He also submits that the appeal preferred by the respondents against the aforesaid order dated 22.01.2019 has also been dismissed by a Division Bench of this Court, vide order dated 26.08.2019, in D.B. Special Appeal Writ No.1061/2019.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner may be permitted to file a representation before the respondents with a corresponding direction to the respondents to decide the said representation in light of the observations made in the cases of ***Tara Chand*** (supra) & ***Prem Singh*** (supra).

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a representation before the respondents.

6. In case the representation is filed within a period of 30 days from today, the respondents shall decide the same by a speaking and reasoned order, in light of the orders passed by the Co-ordinate Benches of this Court in ***Tara Chand*** (supra) & ***Prem Singh*** (supra), within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt thereof. However, in case any factual difference is found or there exits any reason warranting deviation from the observation made in the aforesaid orders, the respondents shall be at liberty to consider the same and pass a well-reasoned and speaking order, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, under intimation to him. The petitioner shall be at liberty to assail the same, in accordance with law.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

8/SAHIL SONI