HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 917/2026
CNR: RJHC020498982026 | URN: CCP / 963U / 2026

Raghav Shrivastava S/o Udit Shrivastava, Aged About 19 Years, R/o 6/170, Gopal Marg, Sfs Agarwal Farm Near Icg College, Mansarovar District Jaipur (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Mr. Sanyam Bhardwaj, Controller Of Examination, Central Board Of Secondary Education, Head Office New Delhi.
2. Mr. Shyam Kapoor, Regional Officer, Central Board Of Secondary Education, Ajmer Rajasthan.
3. Father James, Principal, St. Anselms Sr. Sec. School, Sector-6, Heera Path, Mansarovar, Jaipur (Raj.)
4. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary Government Of Rajasthan Secretariat Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None present
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vishwas Saini, for Mr. M.S. Raghav

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

31/10/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order dated 06.10.2025 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14032/2025.

2. The petitioner filed writ petition before the Court with the prayer to issue directions to the respondents Central Board of Secondary Education to change of his surname and father's name in his secondary school Mark-sheet/ Certificate.

3. The Court vide order dated 06.10.2025 directed the respondent-Central Board of Secondary Education to consider and decide the prayer of the petitioner expeditiously.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 submits that after having considered the claim of the petitioner, the respondents have issued the fresh Mark-sheet after making change in the surname of the petitioner and so also the father's name.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent has also placed before this Court the copy of fresh Mark-sheet and the letters dated 14.09.2026 and 13.05.2026 which are taken on record.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts, the Court is of the opinion that the respondents have made substantial compliance of the Court's order.

7. Hence, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

8. Contempt notices stand discharged.

9. Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

10. In case, any fact stated by the learned counsel appearing for the respondent is found to be incorrect, the petitioner may make application for revival of this contempt petition.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

Crt_10/D-A/21/SHRUTI SHARMA