HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8562/2026
CNR: RJHC020451732026 | URN: CW / 18938U / 2026

1. Anisha D/o. Haliya, Aged About 43 Years, R/o. V.P Sundarav, Teh. Anandpuri Dis. Banswara (Raj) 327031
2. Anshul Kumar Pargi S/o. Phool Chand Pargi, Aged About 38 Years, R/o. V.P. Obla Tehsil- Anandpuri Dist. Banswara (Raj.) 327031
3. Usha Damor D/o. Laxman Lal, Aged About 43 Years, R/o. Village Govindpura PO Bhathar Teh. Arthuna, Dis. Banswar. Raj 327034

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Panchayati Raj Departartment, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur -302005
2. Zila Parishad Pratapgarh, Through Its Chief Executive Officer.
3. Zila Parishad Udaipur, Through Its Chief Executive Officer

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Prashansa Sharma for Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

28/10/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy involved in this writ petition is squarely covered by the order dated 27.02.2026 passed by the Co-ordinate bench of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3907/2026 (**Hanif Mohammed Pathan Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**) which has been decided placing reliance upon the judgment passed by the

Supreme Court in the case of **State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Namonarayan Sharma & Ors. (2026 INSC 179)**.

2. Considering the grievance raised herein and in the light of the judgment referred to (supra), the petitioners are given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondent-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **State of Rajasthan and Ors. Vs. Namo Narayan Sharma (supra)**, but in case of deviation, with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 60 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioners either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioners have provided E-mail in their representations. If the petitioners are still aggrieved, they have a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

3. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioners, then same benefits be extended to the petitioners.

4. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Ashu/224