HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6595/2026
CNR: RJHC020401492026 | URN: CRLMB / 11912U / 2026

Ramgopal S/o Haribaksh, Aged About 37 Years, Resident Of Bapawar, Police Station Bhalta, District Jhalawar (Raj.) (Presently Confined In District Jail At Jhalawar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7196/2026
CNR: RJHC020429462026 | URN: CRLMB / 13080U / 2026

Radheyshyam S/o Bakshu, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Kotdi Gopalpura, Police Station Ghatoli, District Jhalawar (Raj.). (Presently Accused Confined In District Jail At Jhalawar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Narsi Prasad Sharma Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

27/10/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.22/2026 registered at Police Station Jhalra Patan, District Jhalawar for offences under Sections 8, 21 and 29 of NDPS Act.

Digitally signed by
Arun Bathla
Date: 2026.10.28
10:01:26 IST

2. Since both these bail applications arise out of same FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present cases on the basis of the disclosure statement made by co-accused Purilal, from whose conscious possession 380 grams of smack was recovered. It is further submitted that no material, such as call detail records or evidence of financial transactions, is available on record to establish the involvement of the present petitioners in the alleged offences. Petitioner Ramgopal has been in custody since 23.03.2026 and has five criminal antecedents, out of which three relate to offences of a different nature. Petitioner Radheyshyam has been in custody since 06.03.2026 and has one criminal antecedent of a similar nature. The charge-sheet has already been filed. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, accordingly, the bail applications deserves to be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Ramgopal S/o Haribaksh** and **Radheyshyam S/o Bakshu,** be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

10-11/Arun