HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5017/2026
CNR: RJHC020228412026 | URN: CW / 10957U / 2026

1. Om Prakash Lodha S/o Lalchand Lodha, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village Pathiya, Tehsil Jhalrapatan, District Jhalawar.
2. Pappu Lal Lodha S/o Kani Ram Lodha, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Pathiya, Tehsil Jhalrapatan, District Jhalawar.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Commissioner, Agriculture Department, Krishi Pant Bhawan, C-Scheme, Jaipur.
3. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, State Agriculture Management Institutional Premises, Durgapura, Jaipur Through Its Chairman.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Amit Dadhich
For Respondent(s) :

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

26/10/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that before approaching this Court, the petitioners approached the respondents by way of filing representation for redressal of their grievance, but for the reasons best known to the authority the representation submitted by the petitioners has not been decided.

2. Learned counsel further submits that the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Pawan Meena Versus State of**

Rajasthan (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.1665/2024 decided on 02.02.2024) has directed all the Departments of the State to decide the representation within a stipulated time but inspite of passing of the said order, till date, the representation submitted by the petitioners has not been decided.

3. Heard and considered the submissions made at Bar and perused the material available on record.

4. Considering the arguments put forward by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation (pending, if any) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously as early as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, strictly in accordance with law, by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

5. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

6. Before parting with this order, it is made clear that this Court has not passed any direction/order to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners in a particular way. The respondents would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Ashu/111