HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3299/2026
CNR: RJHC020189832026 | URN: CRLMB / 5910U / 2026

1. Sahil Kumawat S/o Shri Ganesh Kumawat, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Khatiyo Ki Dhani, Sirsi Road, Jaipur.
2. Ankit Kumawat S/o Shri Ganesh Kumawat, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Khatiyo Ki Dhani, Sirsi Road, Jaipur.
3. Narsi Kumawat S/o Shri Madan Lal, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Village Bishanapura @ Charanwas, Itawa Bhojji, Jaipur.

----Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pradeep Singh, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Gupta, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Yatharth Sharma, Adv. for, Mr. Sudhir Jain, Adv.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

26/10/2026

1. The instant pre-arrest bail application has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS on behalf of the petitioners, who are having apprehension of arrest in FIR No.285/2025 registered at Police Station Bindayaka, District Jaipur (West) for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 191(2), 191(3) and 329(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case and they have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is

submitted that the dispute between the parties is a tenant and landlord dispute. It is further submitted that the petitioners have already joined the investigation in pursuance of order dated 27.07.2026 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and are cooperating with it. In such circumstances, learned counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served by arresting the petitioners. Hence, this pre-arrest bail application may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. I have considered the rival submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially the fact that the dispute between the parties appears to be a tenant and landlord dispute and the petitioners have already joined the investigation in the light of the order dated 27.07.2026 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and are cooperating with it, so also considering the role attributed to the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of this case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow this pre-arrest bail application.

6. The anticipatory bail application is allowed. The S.H.O./I.O./Arresting Officer, Police Station Bindayaka, District Jaipur (West) is directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the aforesaid FIR No.285/2025, they shall be released on bail provided they furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of

Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on the following conditions:-

(i) that they shall make themselves available for interrogation as and when required;

(ii) that they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(iii) that they shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

42/Manoj Solanki