HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1400/2026
CNR: RJHC020048472026 | URN: CRLMB / 2524U / 2026

Lokesh Choudhary S/o Shri Harchand, aged about 26 years, R/o Harmara, Police Station Bandarsindary, District Ajmer, At present R/o Dhani Road, Ward No. 01, Ramdev Colony, Kishangarh, Police Station Gandhinagar, District Ajmer.
(At present confined in Central Jail Ajmer)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1401/2026
CNR: RJHC020048522026 | URN: CRLMB / 2526U / 2026

Dheeraj Gattani S/o Shri Manoj Gattani, aged about 26 years, R/o Meera Marg, New Colony, Kuchaman City Police Station Kuchaman City, District Didwana Kuchaman.
(At present confined in Central Jail Ajmer)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Karni Ola
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

26/10/2026

1. Since the instant Criminal Misc. Bail Applications are arising out of the same FIR, therefore, they are being heard and decided by this common order.

2. These bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.133/2025, registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar (Ajmer), District Ajmer (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 318(2), 316(2), 61(2) & 308(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in this matter for offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 61(2), 318(4) & 111(2)(b) of BNS and Sections 3, 21 & 5 of the Banning of Unregulated Deposit Schemes Act, 2019.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that the petitioners are not concerned at all with the company. Counsel further submits that several other FIRs have also been registered against the petitioners in similar position and in some of the FIRs the benefit of bail has been granted to the petitioners. Counsel submits that charge-sheet has already been filed. Counsel submits that in the present case also co-accused persons namely, Balveer Vaishnav, Hidayat Ali and Rameshwar Gurjar have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court as well as by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court respectively and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that the petitioners are in custody since 25.08.2025 and further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioners. She submits that the petitioners are

habitual offenders, and several other cases have been registered against them.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially considering the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet, so also the fact that co-accused persons as mentioned above have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court as well as by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court respectively, and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody suffered by the petitioners, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow these bail applications.

7. These bail applications are accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners- **(1) Lokesh Choudhary S/o Shri Harchand & (2) Dheeraj Gattani S/o Shri Manoj Gattani** shall be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and they shall mark their presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till December, 2027.

9. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioners in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioners fail to mark their presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

10. If any breach of these conditions is reported or comes to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to the petitioners by this Court.

11. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

12. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

57-58 - RAVI KHANDELWAL