HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 228/2018
CNR: RJHC020043692018 | URN: CCP / 238U / 2018

Rasal Singh S/o Sh. Preetam Singh, R/o Village Pichumar, Tehsil Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Kusumlata Jain W/o Kesri Chand Rathore, R/o Halwai Mohalla, Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur.
2. Chandrawati Meena W/o Mohan Singh, R/o Near Stone Shop, Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur.
3. Narendra Kumar Gupta, District Collector, Collectorate Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
4. Ashok Meena, A.en. Pwd, Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur.
5. Sunil Dutt Chaturvedi, Executive Officer, Nagarpalika Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur.
6. Kesri Chand Rathore, S/o Murari Lal Rathore, R/o Halwai Mohalla, Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur.
7. Omprakash Jatav, Asi, Police Station Kumher, Distt. Bharatpur.
8. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Public Works Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manish Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Dheeraj Tripathi, Mr. Nikhlesh Katara

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

28/10/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the interim order dated 22.08.2017 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12714/2017 whereby the

Court restrained the parties from raising any construction over the shops in question.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after passing of the interim order, the respondents have raised constructions. Learned counsel has placed on record certain photographs.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have not raised any construction subsequent to the passing of the interim order dated 22.08.2017.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to show whether these photographs attached as Annexure-2 are of the period of subsequent to the passing of interim order or are of the same site which is dispute in question in the writ petition.

5. In view of the above and more particularly the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent that they have not raised any construction subsequent to the interim order, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of this contempt petition at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh contempt petition, in case, respondents have raised any construction during the currency of the interim order.

6. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

7. Contempt notices stand discharged.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

44/SHRUTI SHARMA