



\$~15 to 17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. (COMM) 326/2016

IIFL SECURITIES LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kakkar, Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Advocates along with Ms. Mamta Singh and Mr. Harshvardhan Pathak (Authorized Representatives of Petitioner)

versus

GHCL EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION TRUSTRespondent

Through: Mr. Anil K. Airi, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Bindiya Logawney Airi, Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandna, Mr. Vishal Tyagi, Ms. Jasmin Sokhi and Mr. Harsh Gautam, Advocates.

16

+ O.M.P. (COMM) 521/2016

GHCL EMPLOYEES STOCK OPTION TRUSTPetitioner

Through: Mr. Anil K. Airi, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Bindiya Logawney Airi, Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandna and Mr. Harsh Gautam, Advocates.

versus

INDIA INFOLINE LTD & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhay Kakkar, Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Advocates along with Ms. Mamta Singh and Mr. Harshvardhan Pathak (Authorized Representatives of Petitioner)



17

+ O.M.P. (COMM) 540/2016

IIFL SECURITIES LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kakkar, Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Advocates along with Ms. Mamta Singh and Mr. Harshvardhan Pathak (Authorized Representatives of Petitioner)

versus

GHCL EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION TRUSTRespondent

Through: Mr. Anil K. Airi, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Bindiya Logawney Airi, Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandna and Mr. Harsh Gautam, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR

ORDER

%

15.04.2026

I.A. 10272/2026 (For Amendment of the memo of parties) in O.M.P. (COMM) 326/2016

I.A. 10273/2026 (For Amendment of the memo of parties) in O.M.P. (COMM) 540/2016

1. The present Applications have been filed under Order VI Rule 17 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking amendment of the memo of parties on account of change of the name of the Petitioner's company from "IIFL Securities Limited" to "IIFL Capital Service Limited".
2. For the reasons stated in the present Applications and there being no objection from the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent, the present Applications are allowed.
3. Accordingly, the memo of parties shall stand amended and be



read as follows:

“IIFL CAPITAL SERVICE LTD.Petitioner
versus
GHCL EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION TRUSTRespondent”

4. Further, even though no Application, seeking amendment of memo of parties has been filed in O.M.P. (COMM) 521/2016, nonetheless, considering that the parties are *ad idem* that the memo of parties requires to be amended herein as well, the memo of parties in the said matter shall read as follows:

“GHCL EMPLOYEES STOCK OPTION TRUSTPetitioner
versus
IIFL CAPITAL SERVICE LTD. & ANRRespondents”

O.M.P. (COMM) 326/2016

O.M.P. (COMM) 521/2016 & I.A. 10274/2026 (For permission to place on record the deed of settlement dt. 10.04.2026)

O.M.P. (COMM) 540/2016

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties are *ad idem* that the disputes between the parties stand settled in terms of the Settlement Agreement dated 10.04.2026 [“**Settlement Agreement**”].

6. Learned counsel for the parties further submit that the parties undertake to duly abide by the terms and conditions of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement.

7. In view of the fact that the disputes, as between the parties, stand settled and have mutually entered into the aforesaid Settlement Agreement, the present matters along with pending application(s), if any, are disposed of in terms of the Settlement Agreement.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
APRIL 15, 2026/nd/dj