



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 278/2010

RAJESH KUMAR MANGAL

.....Appellant

Through: None.

versus

RAJESHWAR PRASAD GAUTAM & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

25.02.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present appeal under Section 96 of the CPC seeks the following prayers:-

(i) To allow this appeal and set aside the Order dated 03-10-2009 passed by the learned ADJ, Delhi.

(ii) To grant any other and further relief which may be deemed fit and proper in the interest of justice;

(iii) To award costs in favour of the appellant”

3. None appears on behalf of the appellant today.
4. There was no appearance on behalf of the appellant on the last two dates of hearing as well i.e. 22.04.2025 and 17.10.2025.
5. Court notice issued to the appellant vide order dated 22.04.2025 came back unserved with the report that “concerned address was found locked”.
6. The present appeal is dismissed in default for non-prosecution and



disposed of.

7. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026/nk/ah