



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4667/2014 & CRL.M.As. 412/2019, 10096/2022

SATISH LOHIA & ANR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rakesh Malviya, Mr. Manish
Choudhary & Ms. Kirti Bhati,
Advocates.

versus

S SWARNJIT SINGH & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tarang Srivastava, APP.
SI Dinesh Kumar, PS Fatehpur
Basti.
Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate for
Complainant.
Mr. Shubham Gupta, Advocate for
R-2. [M:-8882785372].
Mr. Chaitanya Sundriyal,
Advocate for R-3.
Mr. Vaibhav Kumar, Advocate for
R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **09.02.2026**

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 421/2013 registered under Sections 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Fateh Pur Beri, arising out of a dispute concerning certain immovable property, on the ground that the parties have amicably resolved their differences by executing a Memorandum of Understanding dated 16.10.2013 ["MoU"]. In terms of the said MoU, the



petitioners agreed, *inter alia*, to cancel the sale deed dated 08.07.2010 and hand over all original property documents, while respondent No.2 undertook to withdraw the police complaint upon peaceful possession of the property.

2. After some hearing, Mr. Rakesh Malviya, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the charge-sheet has been filed, and the offences involved are compoundable. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to approach the learned Trial Court for compounding of the offences *qua* the present petitioners.

3. Mr. Prashant Sharma, learned counsel for the complainant, submits that the complainant has already made a statement before the learned Trial Court expressing no objection to the compounding of the offence against the present petitioners.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the present petition, alongwith the pending applications, is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

5. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and it shall be open to the learned Trial Court to consider and decide the application for compounding, if filed, in accordance with law.

PRATEEK JALAN, J.

FEBRUARY 9, 2026

'pv'/SD/