



\$~76 & 77

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ CRL.M.C. 3849/2012 & CRL.M.A. 18580/2012 & CRL.M.A. 11465/2013  
ANIL KUMAR DIDWANIA .....Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Rajiv K. Garg, Mr. Ashish Garg  
and Mr. Govind Singh, Advocates  
versus

THE STATE THROUGH C.B.I. ....Respondent  
Through: Mr. Atul Guleria, SPP, CBI with Mr. Aryan Rakesh and Ms. Atreyi Chatterjee, Advocates  
+ CRL.M.C. 4536/2013&CRL.M.A. 16266/2013  
MANOJ KUMAR DIDWANIA .....Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Rajiv K. Garg, Mr. Ashish Garg  
and Mr. Govind Singh, Advocates  
versus

STATE THR. CBI .....Respondent  
Through: Mr. Atul Guleria, SPP, CBI with Mr. Aryan Rakesh and Ms. Atreyi Chatterjee, Advocates

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN**

**ORDER**

%

**16.01.2026**

1. FIR No. RC.I(A)/98-ACU(VII)/AC dated 05.01.1998 under Section 120B IPC r/w Sections 420/467/468/471 *Indian Penal Code*, and Section 13(2) r/w Section 13 (1) (d) of *Prevention of Corruption Act* and substantive offences under Sections 420/467/468/471 IPC and Section 13 (2) r/w Section 13 (1) (d) of the *Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988* has been registered by CBI.
2. Investigation was carried out and charge-sheet was filed before the competent Court of jurisdiction in which petitioners herein have been also been shown as accused.



3. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that case is at the stage of ascertainment of charges.
4. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for petitioners, while reserving all his rights and contentions, submits that he does not press the present petitions, at this stage. His prayer is, however, two-fold. Firstly, he may be permitted to raise all the contentions, which he has raised in the present petitions, before the learned Trial Court at the time of arguments on charge and secondly, in case, the charges are still framed, he may be given liberty to assail such order.
5. Learned SPP for CBI does not have any objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for petitioners. He, rather, supplements that petitioners do not have to seek any liberty and they can always, independent of any such liberty, assail order on charge.
6. Be that as it may, in view of the aforesaid prayer made by the learned counsel for petitioners, both the aforesaid petitions stand disposed of as not pressed.
7. All rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.
8. Petitioners would be at liberty to raise all the contentions which have been made herein, before the learned Trial Court at the time of arguments on charge.
9. Needless to say, petitioners are always at liberty to assail order on charge, in accordance with law.
10. All the pending applications also stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

**MANOJ JAIN, J**

**JANUARY 16, 2026/dr/sy**