



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 1258/2013**

KRISHAN PRASAD SHARMA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.S.B.Dandapani, Adv. (through
VC) from DHCLSC.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for the
State (through VC) along with
Mr.Arsalan Naik and Mr.Siddhaartha
Goyal, Advs.
SI Shilpy Gupta PS South Campus.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

27.02.2026

%

1. Hybrid Mode.
2. Learned counsel for the Appellant stated that Appellant has completed his sentence and the nominal roll substantiates this fact.
3. The Appellant is resident of Nepal and the record, either with the Court or with the police, does not have any address of Nepal.
4. Report on the process issued suggests that Appellant is not available at the local address and ultimately it has been submitted upon by both prosecution and learned counsel for Appellant (appearing through VC) that the matter may be disposed of.
5. In such circumstances there appears no reason to keep the appeal pending, as has been suggested by both the sides, therefore, the same stands dismissed as “*infructuous*”.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/bj