



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2592/2014, I.A. 16340/2025 & I.A. 16374/2025**

SUSHMA JAINPlaintiff

Through: None.
versus

VINAY KUMAR JAIN & ORSDefendants

Through: Mr. P.K. Rawal and Mr. Vasu U.
Purohit, Advs. for D-1(a) & 4.
Mr. I.S. Kohli, Adv. for D-3.
Mr. Shreshth Jain and Ms. Neha
Buttan, Advs. for D-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

19.05.2026

1. On the last date i.e. 04.02.2026, an adjournment was sought by the plaintiff on the ground that the arguing counsel is not available due to indisposition of his wife. In the said order itself, it was made clear that no adjournment will be granted to the plaintiff on the next date.
2. Today, none appears on behalf of the plaintiff when the matter is called out.
3. In view of the above, the suit, along with pending applications, is dismissed in default.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MAY 19, 2026

aj