



2026:DHC:4370



3. The respondent no. 2, appearing through videoconferencing, is identified by IO/SI Vinod Kumar. I have spoken with respondent no.2 in Hindi and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. One daughter was born from wedlock of petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2, who is in custody of respondent no. 2. The respondent no.2 submits that she has received full and final settlement amount in lieu of her entire *stridhan* and maintenance. Respondent no.2 submits that she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioners.

4. Having spoken with respondent no.2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through full dress trial.

5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 103/2012 of PS Palam for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b26f65fffe4afec
45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626acca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID=7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15
570996b40f80cbd2eeef60402c487965ff801
e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.05.16 06:03:24 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

MAY 16, 2026/‘rs’

CRL.M.C. 98/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 16.05.2026
18:21:43