



\$~66 to 69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2692/2015 & CM APPL. 4809/2015, CM APPL. 2552/2017

66 RAVI KUMAR SOLANKIPetitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Vohra and Mr. Shwet
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Anand Prakash, Standing Counsel
for MCD with Ms. Varsha Arya and
Mr. Geet Batra, Advocates

+ W.P.(C) 2838/2015 & CM APPL. 6693/2015

67 ROHIT SAKHUJAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Vohra and Mr. Shwet
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Anand Prakash, Standing Counsel
for MCD with Ms. Varsha Arya and
Mr. Geet Batra, Advocates

+ W.P.(C) 2951/2015

68 M/S. NANAK ELECTRONICS PVT LTDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Deepak Vohra and Mr. Shwet
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL



CORPORATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand Prakash, Standing Counsel
for MCD with Ms. Varsha Arya and
Mr. Geet Batra, Advocates

+ W.P.(C) 3009/2015&CM APPL. 6692/2015

69 BHUPINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Vohra and Mr. Shwet
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand Prakash, Standing Counsel
for MCD with Ms. Varsha Arya and
Mr. Geet Batra, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

23.04.2026

%

1. In the order passed by this Court in these petitions on 17th November, 2023, in view of the fact that show cause notices under the Public Premises Act (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (hereinafter 'PPA, 1971') were served upon the petitioners, counsel for the petitioners sought time to take instructions on whether these petitions can be disposed of, reserving the rights and contentions of the petitioners before the Estate Officer.
2. Counsel for the parties submit that arguments have been heard before the Estate Officer and the judgment is likely to be pronounced shortly.
3. In view thereof, the counsel for the petitioners on instructions seeks to



withdraw the present writ petitions, while reserving all rights and contentions of the petitioner in the proceedings arising under the PPA, 1971.

4. Accordingly, the present petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation with regard to the merits of the matter and hence, this withdrawal will not influence the proceedings under the PPA, 1971.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 23, 2026
ds