



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3101/2026, CRL.M.A. 12586/2026**

MUKESH VERMA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Adv. with
petitioners in person

Versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh and Ms. Upasana Bakshi,
Adv.

SI Ashutosh Mishra, PS.: Mehrauli
Mr. Charan Singh, Adv. for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

05.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 398/2016 dated 11.02.2016 registered at PS.: Mehrauli, South-District, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view the order dated 23.03.2024, annexed to the petition whereby the petitioners and the respondent no.2 (Legal Representative of respondent no.3/ original complainant) have settled their disputes before the learned MM-03, Mahila Court, South District, Saket, New Delhi.



2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms that a settlement had been arrived at *inter se* him and the petitioners before the learned MM-03, Mahila Court, South District, Saket, New Delhi. He also submits that the petitioners have already paid the total settlement amount of Rs.2,50,000/- to him as full and final settlement of all his present, past and future claims. As such, he submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 398/2016 dated 11.02.2016 registered at PS.: Mehrauli, South-District, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



7. As such, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

MAY 05, 2026/bh

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.