



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2619/2026, CRL.M.A. 10659-10660/2026

ROHIT BINDAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aamir Chaudhary, Advocate
alongwith petitioner in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms.
Upasna Bakshi, Mr. Dinesh Kumar
and Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advocates
Mr. Rajiv Singh Pilia, Advocate
for R-2 alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

04.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.212/2012 dated 21.09.2012 registered at PS: Kotwali under *Section 420/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the fact that the offence under *Section 420* IPC had already been compounded by the learned Trial Court *vide* order dated 02.05.2017 [*Annexure A5*].

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no



objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and states that the disputes *inter se* him and the petitioner have been amicably settled. He further affirms that the learned Trial Court had already compounded the offence under *Section 420 vide* order dated 02.05.2017 on the basis of his statement recorded. As such, he submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.* (2013) 4 SCC 58, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* (2012) 10 SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. As such, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.212/2012 dated 21.09.2012 registered at PS: Kotwali under *Section 420/34* of IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition alongwith the pending applications is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 4, 2026/rr