



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS.(CRL) 6/2014**
MANISH JAIN

Through: Mr.Anirudh Mudgal,
Ms.Vaishnavi Saxena &
Ms.Avni Soni, Advs.

.....Petitioner

versus

AKANKSHA JAIN

Through: None.

.....Respondent

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

% **30.04.2026**

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 12 read with Section 2(c)(ii) and (iii) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, stating that the respondent/contemnor, that is, his wife, had been filing false affidavits regarding her employment and income in the matrimonial proceedings pending between them, with the objective of obtaining favourable orders.
2. It is stated that the same interferes with and obstructs the administration of justice. On the basis of the said affidavits, the *interim* maintenance in favour of the respondent/contemnor was fixed by this Court to the tune of Rs. 60,000/- per month, *vide* the judgment dated 21.02.2014 passed in CM(M) No. 910/2010.
3. It is further stated that in the said judgment, the Court had itself



recorded that the respondent/contemnor had not disclosed her income either before the learned Trial Court or before this Court up to 30.01.2012. Even her application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 before the learned Trial Court was dismissed mainly on the ground that her application, rejoinder, as well as affidavit did not disclose the true facts as to her income and employment.

4. It is contended that as the respondent/contemnor had concealed her true income, she is guilty of having misled this Court and has thereby committed criminal contempt.

5. We may herein also note that the petitioner had challenged the judgment dated 21.02.2014 by way of a Special Leave Petition under Article 136 of the Constitution of India, that is, SLP(C) No. 7670/2014, before the Supreme Court. The same came to be dismissed *in limine vide* the order dated 15.04.2014. Thereafter, the petitioner filed a Review Petition before the Supreme Court challenging the said dismissal.

6. This Court, *vide* the order dated 10.07.2015, taking note of the fact that the said Review Petition was pending before the Supreme Court, adjourned the present petition *sine die*.

7. As recorded by the learned Joint Registrar (Judicial) in the order dated 07.04.2026, the Review Petition filed by the petitioner has since been dismissed, *vide* the order dated 05.12.2017.

8. Keeping in view the above development, and as contempt is a matter between the Court and the alleged contemnor, we do not deem it appropriate to proceed further with this petition.



9. The same is, accordingly, dismissed, leaving it open to the parties to agitate their rights in appropriate proceedings.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

APRIL 30, 2026/rv/Yg