



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1132/2026, CRL.M.A. 4467/2026**

UDAY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anuj Chaturvedi (DHCLSC),
Advocate with Ms. Yashita Jain,
Advocate.
Petitioner in-person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Respondent No.2 in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **10.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 4466/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 4468/2026 (condonation of delay in re-filing)

By way of the present application filed under section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 ('BNSS'), the petitioner seeks condonation of about 19 days' delay in *re-filing* the petition.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by affidavit, the delay is condoned.
3. The application is allowed and disposed-of.



CRL.M.C. 1132/2026

4. By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of BNSS, the petitioner and complainant/respondent No. 2, jointly seek quashing of case FIR No. 297/2025 dated 24.04.2025 registered under sections 69/89/318(2)/351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Burari, Delhi.
5. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Settlement dated 14.11.2025, whereby the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have resolved the matter amicably.
6. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioner and of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
7. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
8. The court has interacted with respondent No.2, as also with petitioner, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and Memorandum of Settlement dated 14.11.2025 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
9. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
10. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be



quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

11. Accordingly case FIR No. 297/2025 dated 24.04.2025 registered under sections 69/89/318(2)/351(3) of BNS at P.S.: Burari, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
12. Petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2026/ak