



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 984/2026**

RANBIR SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shashank Sharma and Mr.
Sachin Bandooni, Advs. with
petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms.
Upasna Bakshi and Ms. Divya
Bakshi, Advocates with Insp. Rajiv
Kumar, PS: Ashok Vihar
Mr. Abhishek Tyagi, Ms. Ishita
Pancholi, Advocates for R-2 & 3
with R-2 & 3 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
27.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.488/2017 dated 11.09.2017 registered at PS.: Keshav Puram, Delhi under *Sections 323/341/506/354* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Compromise Deed dated 30.05.2024 [*Annexure B*] arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.



3. Respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, also accept notice and affirm the terms of the aforesaid Compromise Deed. They submit that they have no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Upon consideration of the existing facts and the overall events unfolding in their entirety, even though this Court is mindful that the present FIR No.488/2017 dated 11.09.2017 has been registered under *Sections 323/341/506/354* of the IPC, this Court is inclined to quash the present FIR in exercise of its inherent powers under *Section 528* of the BNSS, particularly, since the respondent nos.2 had entered into a settlement with the petitioner in the midst of pendency of the proceedings and has given her affidavit to the aforesaid effect and is thus no longer inclined to support the case of the prosecution as also since it will also be in the interest of the parties and betterment of their future.

6. Under the peculiar facts and circumstances, especially since, a settlement has already been arrived at voluntarily between the parties, and the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions thereof, as also since, accompanying affidavit(s) of the parties involved have also been filed herewith, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, continuation of the FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.488/2017



dated 11.09.2017 registered at PS.: Keshav Puram, Delhi under *Sections 323/341/506/354* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/So