



\$~20 & 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1001/2026

RAVINDER NATH SAHNI & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
H.S. Gulati, Adv.

versus

STATE G.N.C.T OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State.
R-2 with her counsel Mr Deepak Jain,
Ms Anoushka Singh, Ms
Dashampreet Kaur, Mr Sajal Gupta,
Mr Arsh Raina, Mr Devender
Chauhan, Ms Himani Garg and Mr
Gaurav Som, Adv.

+ W.P.(CRL) 26/2026

RAVINDER NATH SAHNI & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
H.S. Gulati, Adv.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State.
R-2 with her counsel Mr Deepak Jain,
Ms Anoushka Singh, Ms
Dashampreet Kaur, Mr Sajal Gupta,
Mr Arsh Raina, Mr Devender
Chauhan, Ms Himani Garg and Mr
Gaurav Som, Adv.



CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
% **26.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 229/2026 (exemption) in W.P.(CRL) 26/2026

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1001/2026 & W.P.(CRL) 26/2026

3. By way of these petitions, the petitioners seek quashing of two FIRs, i.e. FIR bearing no. 449/2023, registered at Police Station Vivek Vihar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 323/354/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and FIR bearing no. 461/2024, registered at Police Station Vivek Vihar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 323/506/509/34 of the IPC.
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Vivek Vihar, Delhi.
5. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the allegations in the aforementioned FIRs, which have been taken together, arise out of the disputes, when both the sides have alleged cross FIRs against each and on their complaints, the aforementioned FIRs were registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 03.04.2025, entered between them.
6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2/complainant, who



has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if these FIRs are quashed.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIRs and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIRs in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 449/2023, registered at Police Station Vivek Vihar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 323/354/506/509/34 of the IPC and FIR bearing no. 461/2024, registered at Police Station Vivek Vihar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 323/506/509/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. In view of the above, the present petitions stand disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 26, 2026/A/GJ