



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 911/2026, CRL.M.A. 3606/2026**

MR. SANDEEP JOON

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akansha Solanki and Ms. Akshita Sood, Advs. alongwith petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Advocate and Insp. Kuldeep Kumar, PS.: Sarai Rohilla Railway Station.
Mr. Deepak Khatri and Mr. A. S. Rajput, Advs. for R-2 & 3 alongwith R-2 & 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

03.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C.**) the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.47/2018 dated 20.08.2018 registered at PS.: Sarai Rohilla Station under *Sections 363/323* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all other proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 20.05.2025 arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. The present petition is accompanied by the said Memorandum of



Settlement dated 20.05.2025 [**Annexure P3**] alongwith the respective proofs of identities of the parties.

3. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. He submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR No.47/2018 dated 20.08.2018.

4. Respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 20.05.2025 whereby the parties have amicably settled the dispute *inter se* themselves without any consideration. Respondent nos.2 and 3 further states that they have no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.47/2018 dated 20.08.2018.

5. Further, the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. In view of the fact that a settlement has already been arrived at between the parties, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions contained therein. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.47/2018 dated 20.08.2018 will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.47/2018 dated 20.08.2018 registered at PS: Sarai Rohilla Station under Sections 363/323 IPC and all other proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.



8. Accordingly, the petition alongwith pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

FEBRUARY 03, 2026/bh

SAURABH BANERJEE, J