



\$~114

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1083/2026**

RAKESH CHAND GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Kartikeya Rastogi and
Ms.Tamanna Kavdia Advocates.

versus

**SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms Nitika Bhutani, Advocate for R-1.
Ms. Shubham Mahajan, Advocate for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

27.01.2026

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that the Court fee amounting to Rs.2,23,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Twenty Three Thousand only) was, locked, in a recovery suit, which was neither pursued nor taken up for Court's consideration.
2. The gravamen of the dispute is peculiar, where the petitioner, after having purchased the Court fees, has decided to not pursue the suit, and thus seeks the refund of his Court fees.
3. In the facts of the case, the Court deems fit to direct for the refund of the Court fees to the petitioner as no suit was pursued, let alone an adjudication was undertaken.



4. Upon the petitioner complying with the formal requirements, let the Registry to do the needful for issuance of the refund certificate, if there is no other legal impediment.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 27, 2026

Nc/ ksr