



\$~83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 677/2026**

WAQEEL MOHAMMAD & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Gopal Sharma and Mr. Aashish
Kalra, Advocates.
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
SI Amit, P.S.: Badarpur.
Respondent No.2 in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

27.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 2704/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 677/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 447/2024 dated 06.09.2024 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Badarpur, Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Mutual Agreement for Settlement/Compromise Deed dated 22.12.2025 arrived at between the parties.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The parties are stated to have resolved all their disputes amicably and in view of the settlement, respondent No.2 has re-joined the company of petitioner No. 1; and they have been living together since past 03 years.
8. The court has queried Ms. Marjeena, respondent No. 2, who confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
9. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
10. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab &Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh &Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all



subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

11. Accordingly, FIR No. 447/2024 dated 06.09.2024 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of IPC at P.S.: Badarpur, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
12. Petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 27, 2026/ak