



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 997/2026, CM APPL. 4857/2026 and CM APPL. 4858/2026

RAILWAY RECRUITMENT BOARD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Balendu Shekhar, CGSC
with Mr. Krishna Chaitanya,
Mr. Rajkumar Maurya, Mr.
Divyansh Singh Dev, Advs.

versus

AMIT FULZELE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

18.02.2026

%

1. Through the present Petition, the Petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari by assailing the correctness of the order dated 30.01.2025 [hereinafter referred to as 'Impugned Order'] passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal [hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal'], whereby the OA filed by the Respondents was allowed and the Petitioner was directed to consider the candidature of the Respondents for alternate posts, to which they had applied.

2. It is not disputed that the Respondent No.1 had obtained 58.32 marks, which were more than the cut-off marks for being selected in seven different categories of Junior Engineer (JE), whereas, Respondent No.2 had obtained 64.89 marks, which were more than the cut-off marks for being selected in two different categories of Senior Selection Engineer (SSE). The Tribunal has noticed that it has



been a standard practice for the Petitioner that the applicants who apply for numerous posts, if not found fit against a particular post with a higher medical standard, are then offered alternate employment in a lower grade/lower medical standard post.

3. Learned counsel representing the Petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, but submits that the said practice was discontinued *vide* circular dated 25.05.2009. Learned counsel fairly admits that despite the aforesaid circular, some departments in Railways are offering alternate posts.

4. Keeping in view the practice being adopted by the Petitioner, the Tribunal has taken a plausible view of the matter and granted relief to the Respondents for being considered in the alternate category in the respective posts in JE and SSE.

5. In the present case, the Respondents have admittedly secured higher marks than the cut-off marks required for various other alternate posts.

6. Accordingly, this Court finds no infirmity with the Impugned Order passed by the Tribunal while directing the Petitioner to consider the candidature of the Respondents for the alternate posts.

7. The present Petition, along with the pending applications, is dismissed.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J.

FEBRUARY 18, 2026

jai/kb