



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 831/2026 with CM APPL. 4083/2026 and CM APPL. 4084/2026

SEVEN SEAS HOSPITALITY PVT LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sachin Chopra and Ms. Astha Gupta, Advocates.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Vikas Chopra, standing counsel for MCD with Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

21.01.2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking quashing of the demand letters dated 24th January, 2025 and 13th June, 2016 issued by the respondent/MCD.
2. Earlier, the petitioner along with other parties had filed a writ petition being W.P.(C) 6027/2016 challenging the demand letter dated 13th June, 2016.
 - 2.1. In the said batch of writ petitions, one of the challenges was with regard to jurisdiction of respondent/MCD to issue such demand.
3. The question of jurisdiction was decided in favour of the respondent/MCD and the aforesaid batch of writ petitions was disposed of with the following directions:



“80. In view of the aforesaid, all the writ petitions, along with pending applications, are disposed of with the following directions:-

- i. The jurisdictional challenge to the impugned show cause notices stands failed.*
- ii. The petitioners are directed to file their response/revised response to the impugned show cause notices within two months from the date of passing of this judgment.*
- iii. Consequent thereto, the MCD shall pass a speaking order within four months, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.*
- iv. Thereafter, aggrieved party shall have the liberty to challenge the decision as per the extant rules and regulations.*
- v. Since the interim orders have been in operation since 2016, therefore, in the interest of justice, they shall be in operation till the MCD passes a final order.”*

4. However, it appears from the record that the petitioner did not file a reply to the impugned demand letter dated 13th June, 2016 in terms of the aforesaid judgment.

5. The respondent/MCD issued a demand letter dated 24th January, 2025 calling upon the petitioner to deposit the conversion charges/parking charges.

6. The petitioner replied to the aforesaid letter *via* its letter dated 20th November, 2025.

7. Having heard the counsel for the parties and in view of the aforesaid factual background, the present writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent/MCD to pass a speaking order by treating the present writ petition as a representation to the respondent/MCD.

8.1. The speaking order shall be passed after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within eight (8) weeks from today.



8. Needless to state the petitioner would have the liberty to take appropriate legal remedies against the said speaking order.

9. The interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 15th July, 2016 in W.P.(C) 6027/2016 shall continue till the time the speaking order is passed by the respondent/MCD.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 21, 2026

Rzu