



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 509/2026, CRL.M.A. 2057/2026, CRL.M.A. 2058/2026

XXXXXXXX

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vijay Chandra Jha, Mr. Tushant, Mr. Uditanshu Sharma and Ms. Anjali Sharma, Advocates with petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms. Upasna Bakshi and Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advocates with ASI Jay Prakash Singh, PS: Burari Respondent no.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
11.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C.**), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.0784/2024 dated 20.12.2024 registered at PS.: Burari, Delhi under *Sections 115(2)/126(2)/3(5)* of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (**BNS**), and all other proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Settlement Deed dated 13.11.2025 arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2.

2. Though the affidavit of respondent no.2 has been filed, however, the same is not on record. A copy of affidavit of respondent no.2 has been handed over in Court and the same is taken on record.



3. The present petition is accompanied by the Settlement Deed dated 13.11.2025 [***Annexure P3***] and is also supported by affidavits of the petitioner and of respondent no.2, alongwith proofs of their respective identities.

4. Issue Notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. He records his objections to the quashing of the FIR No.0784/2024 dated 20.12.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 also accepts notice. Respondent no.2, present in Court, confirms the Settlement Deed dated 13.11.2025 and submits that he has voluntarily settled all his disputes with the petitioner. Respondent no.2 further states that he does not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings against the petitioner and has no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.0784/2024 dated 20.12.2024.

6. Further, the petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. *De hors* the section(s) involved in the present FIR, and though there is an objection by the learned APP appearing for the State, however, since the respondent no.2, who is a public servant, has voluntarily and willingly without any coercion, force and/ or pressure from anyone entered into a Settlement Deed dated 13.11.2025 with the petitioner, which is also duly borne out from the accompanying affidavit(s) of both the respondent no.2 and the petitioner, with a clear objective to bring a closure to all the disputes *inter se* themselves, in the considered opinion of this Court, it would be in the interest of justice as also the parties involved to quash the present FIR. As such, there is no reason for prolonging the trial and/ or continuing with the proceedings emanating from the present FIR.



8. As such, in view of the settlement entered between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 as also in order to bring a quietus to the present disputes and following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*; (2012) 10 SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*; (2014) 6 SCC 466 as also held by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in *Shyam Kishore Singh vs. Govt. NCT of Delhi*; 2023 SCC OnLine Del 4072, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.0784/2024 dated 20.12.2024 will be an exercise in futility.

9. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.0784/2024 dated 20.12.2024 registered at PS.: Burari, Delhi under *Sections 115(2)/126(2)/3(5)* of the BNS, and all other proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. The present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026/So