



\$~96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 465/2026**

MR. SURENDER SINGH & ORS.

....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr
Ninad Dogra and Mr. Devesh
Diwakar, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State
along with SI Deshraj.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Vishal
Bhardwaj and Mr. Pranav Sarthi,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

19.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 1869/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 1906/2026

3. By way of the present application, the petitioners seek condonation of delay of 51 days in re-filing the present petition.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 51 days in re-filing the present petition is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 465/2026

6. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 802/2020, registered at Police Station Chhawala, Dwarka District, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 354/354(B)/506/509/323/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').

7. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Chhawala, Dwarka District, Delhi.

8. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that on 06.09.2020, on the basis of the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections. It is stated that with the intervention of the family members, both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Memorandum of Settlement dated 12.09.2025, entered between them.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 802/2020, registered at Police Station Chhawala, Dwarka District, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 354/354(B)/506/509/323/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 19, 2026/A/GJ