



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 421/2026**

RAVI KUMAR @ RAVI AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Petitioners (in-person)

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for the State.

SI Mahavir, PS- DBG Road.

Mr. Bhavesh, Mr. Ravi Kumar and Mr. Mahesh, Advocates for R-2 with R-2 (in-person).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

19.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 1648/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 421/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No.2, seek quashing of case FIR No.327/2022 dated 25.04.2022 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: D.B.G. Road, Central Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Settlement Deed dated 27.01.2025; and Divorce Decree dated 25.07.2025, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. All petitioners, other than petitioner No.5/Brahm Prakash, are present in court. The court is informed that petitioner No.5 Brahm Prakash was not charge-sheeted. Respondent No. 2 is also present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement deed has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs. 01 lac from petitioner No. 1; and the entire amount has already been paid, in compliance of the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
8. Ms. Richa Dhawan, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.



9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
10. Accordingly, case FIR No.327/2022 dated 25.04.2022 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the IPC at P.S.: D.B.G. Road, Central Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 19, 2026

SS