



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 434/2026**

MRIDUL MISHRA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Abhishek Tyagi, Ms. Ishita Pancholi, Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

STATE THROUGH SHO KESHAV PURAM & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms. Upasna Bakshi and Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advocates with Insp. Rajiv Kumar, PS: Ashok Vihar Respondent no.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
27.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.71/2016 dated 25.01.2016 registered at PS.: Keshav Puram, Delhi under *Sections 323/341/506/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Compromise Deed dated 30.05.2024 [**Annexure B**] arrived at between the petitioners and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.



3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Compromise Deed. He submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Since, a settlement has already been arrived at voluntarily between the parties, and the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions thereof, as also since, accompanying affidavit(s) of the parties involved have also been filed herewith, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, continuation of the FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.71/2016 dated 25.01.2016 registered at PS.: Keshav Puram, Delhi under *Sections 323/341/506/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. Accordingly, the petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/So