



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 336/2026, CRL.M.A. 1255/2026

SUDHIR KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shyam Sunder, Adv. alongwith
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms.
Upasna Bakshi, Advocate and SI
Sapana Sharma, HC Parveena,
PS.: Chhawla.

Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

15.01.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) [*erstwhile Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*], the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.95/2024 dated 18.03.2024 registered under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) at PS: Chhawla, Delhi and all proceedings emanating therefrom, on the ground that the petitioners and the respondent no.2 have settled all their disputes and are now residing together at their matrimonial home.
2. The present petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioner no.1 and of the respondent no.2, alongwith the respective proofs of identity of all the petitioners as well as respondent no.2.
3. Issue notice.
4. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.95/2024 dated 18.03.2024.



5. The respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms that she has settled all her disputes with the petitioners and that she is living with the petitioners at her matrimonial home. She further states that in view of her having no grievances left against the petitioners, she does not wish to pursue the proceedings initiated by her against the petitioners, and has already withdrawn all other pending litigations against the petitioners. As such, she submits that she has no objection to the quashing of FIR No.95/2024 dated 18.03.2024.

6. Further, the petitioners and respondent no.2, present in Court, have been identified by the IO, and affirmed that the petitioners and respondent no.2 are living together with their children.

7. In view of the fact that all disputes have been reconciled between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, for maintenance of peace and harmony between the parties and for the well-being of their minor children born out of the wedlock between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2, following the law laid down by the Supreme Courts in ***Jitendra Raghuvarshi & Ors. Vs. Babita Raghuvarshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.95/2024 dated 18.03.2024 will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.95/2024 dated 18.03.2024, registered under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC at PS: Chhawla, Delhi and all proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. The present petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of.

JANUARY 15, 2026/bh

SAURABH BANERJEE, J