



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 422/2026 & CM APPL. 1975/2026, CM APPL. 1977/2026, CM APPL. 1978/2026

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITYPetitioner
Through: Counsel (Appearance not
given)

versus

SUNIL CHAWLARespondent
Through: Mr. Shanker Raju and Mr.
Nilansh Gaur, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

17.02.2026

1. Through the present Writ Petition, the Petitioner challenges correctness of the order dated 05.08.2025 (hereafter '**the impugned order**'), passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal in O.A. No. 1333/2020.
2. The Respondent was ordered to be compulsorily retired *vide* order dated 29.08.2019 as he had completed 30 years of service. By way of the impugned order, the learned Tribunal set aside the said order after observing that constitution of Review Committee and Representation Committee was not appropriate.
3. After arguing at length, learned counsel representing the parties have come to a consensus. They jointly (on instructions) state that the impugned order be suitably modified and the matter be remanded for re-examination by the Review Committee as well as Representation



Committee in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid consensus, the impugned order is modified to the said extent.

5. The Review Committee and Representation Committee are requested to consider the Petitioner's case within a period of one month. Further, the constitution of the aforesaid committees shall be made keeping in view the observations made by the learned Tribunal.

6. The present Writ Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2026

'KDK'