



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 49/2026 & I.A. 359/2026**

M/S. TRIGYN TECHNOLOGIES LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Tridev Sagar, Adv.**

versus

**TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONSULTANTS INDIA LIMITED
(TCIL) & ANR.**Respondents

Through: **Mr. Vijay Awana & Mr. Siddhant
Nagina, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. Umang Gupta, Adv. for R-2.**

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

**ORDER
26.02.2026**

%

1. The present petition is filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act') for appointment of an arbitrator.
2. Learned counsel for respondent no.1 on instructions has no objection for referring the matter to arbitration.
3. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 submits that respondent no.2 came into picture only for tendering purposes and has no role.
4. Accordingly, the petition is allowed by appointing Mr. Raj Kumar Sharma, Addl. District Judge (Retd.) (Mobile No.: 9871369899), with the consent of the parties, as the sole arbitrator for adjudication of the disputes which have arisen between the parties.
5. Arbitral proceedings will be held under the aegis of Delhi



International Arbitration Centre (DIAC). Fee of the Arbitrator shall be fixed as per fee schedule.

6. Before entering upon reference, the learned Arbitrator will comply with Section 12 of the Act.

7. It is made clear that since this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the rival claims of the parties, it will be open for the parties to file their respective claims/counter claims before the learned Arbitrator which will be considered in accordance with law.

8. A copy of this order be forwarded to the learned Arbitrator for information.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

FEBRUARY 26, 2026
‘ha’