



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 139/2026**

MR GURMEET SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. SS Chhillar, Advocate along with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with SI Amit Yadav.
Mr. Lalit Ajmani, Ms. Kavita and Mr.
Aftab Alam, Advocates for R-2 and
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

08.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 498/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 139/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 178/2025, registered at Police Station IP Estate, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.



4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, IP Estate, Delhi.
6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 26.02.2023, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 05.08.2025.
7. As per MoU dated 05.08.2025, the parties have agreed that they will live together only after the present FIR is quashed. This specific question was asked twice to the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for respondent no. 2 and also respondent no. 2 herself wherein she stated that she has understood the terms of the said MoU and has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.
8. In view of the above fact, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



9. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 178/2025, registered at Police Station IP Estate, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34/506 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.
10. The petition stands disposed of.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 08, 2026/vc/td