



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 148/2026 & CRL.M.A. 507/2026**

PAPPU & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate along
with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with SI Satish Kumar, PS:
Mangolpuri.
Ms. Meenakshi and Ms. Manisha,
Advocates for R-2 and R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

08.01.2026

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 1198/2018, registered at Police Station Mngolpuri, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Mangolpuri, Delhi.



4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 16.05.2005, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that two children were born out of the said wedlock and both the children are in the custody of respondent no. 2/mother. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 29.03.2022.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated that she has already received the amount of Rs. 1,60,000/-. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 1198/2018, registered at Police Station Mngolpuri, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to the fact that future rights of the minor children will not get affected as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar***



Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

8. Accordingly, the present petition along with pending application stands disposed of.
9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 08, 2026/vc/td