



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 42/2026, CRL.M.A. 395/2026

PARVEEN KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kumar Vats, Mr. Anil Bhardwaj and Mr. Rohit Singh, Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC with Ms. Priya Rai, Mr. Sangeet Sibou, Mr. Shubham Goyal and Ms. Anshu Chothwani, Adv.
SI Arpita Mishra, PS-Vijay Vihar.
Mr. Anshul Lamba, Mr. Varun Rana and Mr. Chirag Dagar, Advocates for R-2 with respondent no.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

21.01.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.307/2013 dated 22.06.2013 registered at PS: Vijay Vihar, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Joint Statement given by the petitioner and the respondent no.2 before the Principal Judge (North-West), Family Courts, Rohini Courts, Delhi on 20.02.2014.



2. The present petition is accompanied by the aforesaid Joint Statement dated 20.02.2014 as **Annexure-P-3(Colly)**, and is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondent no.2, alongwith proofs of their respective I.Ds.

3. Issue notice.

4. Learned ASC for the State accepts notice and confirms that he has no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.307/2013 dated 22.06.2013.

5. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and states that she has settled all her disputes with the petitioners and, to that effect, have given Statement before the Principal Judge (North-West), Family Courts, Rohini Courts, Delhi which has been recorded vide Joint Statement dated 20.02.2014. She further confirms that she is residing alongwith the petitioner no.1 at her matrimonial home and does not wish to pursue the present proceedings. Lastly, respondent no.2 states that she has no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.307/2013 dated 22.06.2013.

6. Further, the petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. In view of the fact that all disputes have been reconciled between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, for maintenance of peace and harmony between the parties and for the well-being of the minor child born out of the wedlock between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2, following the law laid down by the Supreme Courts in **Jitendra Raghuvarshi & Ors. Vs. Babita Raghuvarshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58**, **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this Court is



of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.307/2013 dated 22.06.2013 will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.307/2013 dated 22.06.2013 registered at PS: Vijay Vihar, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JANUARY 21, 2026/So