



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2359/2025**

PREM LATA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ram Avtar Sharma, Ms. Dinki Arora, Ms. Garima, Ms. Dhanushree Sharma, Ms. Bhumiika, Mr. Mohit Sharma, Ms. Sonali, Advs.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI CENTRAL ZONE &
ORS.**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shilpa Dewan, Additional Standing Counsel for MCD
Mr. R. K. Dhawan, SC with Ms. Nisha Dhawan, Mr. Pawan Karan Deo, Mr. V. K. Teng, Advs. for DDA
Mr. Badar Mahmood, SPC for UOI with Mr. Ammar Ahmad, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

29.04.2026

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

“(i) Direct the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take immediate action to stop further unauthorized construction or any other building activity by the respondent No. 5 on the property, Address- A- 172, IIIrd Floor, DDA Flat, New Ranjit Nagar, New Delhi -110008.

(ii) Direct the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take action in accordance with the D.M.C. Act to seal and further



demolish the unauthorized construction raised by the respondent No. 5 by filing status reports before this Hon'ble Court;..."

2. The petitioner had earlier preferred another writ petition bearing W.P.(C) No. 13002/2024 titled **Prem Lata v. Municipal Corporation Of Delhi Central Zone & Ors.**, wherein this Court in the paragraph Nos. 6 and 7 of the Order dated 17.09.2024, has issued the following directions:

"6. In view of the aforesaid, instead of keeping this petition pending on the Board of this Court, the petitioner is granted liberty to institute a civil suit against the concerned respondent or to approach the STF by way of proper representation along with the copy of this order. If the petitioner does so, let the grievance of the petitioner be dealt with by the STF, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

7. In view of the aforesaid, reserving the aforesaid liberty in favour of the petitioner, the instant writ petition stands disposed of."

3. Pursuant to the said liberty granted *vide* the aforesaid Order, the petitioner has already approached the STF by way of proper representation.
4. Consequently the respondent No. 4 i.e., STF, Delhi Development Authority has already filed a status report, which is relevant and the operative portion of the same reads as under:



S.No.	Details	
4	ACTION TAKEN	That the property bearing no. A-I72, DDA Flats, New Ranjit Nagar, New Delhi has been booked u/s 344(1) and 343 of the DMC Act as U/C in the shape of one room by covering open space in between A-172 and A-188 vide file no. 30/C-87/B/UC/KBZ/25 dated 18-02.2025. Further action shall be taken as per DMC Act.

5. In this view of the matter, as per the status report filed by the respondent No. 4, the respondent No. 1 i.e., Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) is already taking action following the due procedure of law.
6. Hence, I am of the view that the grievance of the petitioner, as far as the present petition is concerned, stands duly addressed.
7. In case the petitioner is aggrieved by further action/inaction, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the Court in accordance with the law.
8. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms, along with, pending applications, if any.

JASMEET SINGH, J

APRIL 29, 2026/sp