



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 96/2026**

CHANDRA SHEKHAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Raghav Narayan, Advocate along
with petitioners-in-person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with SI Gaurav Panwar, P.S.
Kalyanpuri.
Ms. Priyanka Sarkar, Advocate for R-
2 along with R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

07.01.2026

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 558/2014, registered at Police Station Kalyanpuri, Delhi, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 325/341/34/174A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Kalyanpuri, Delhi.
4. Brief facts of the present case are that on 27.06.2014, on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR bearing no. 558/2014, was



registered at Police Station Kalyanpuri, Delhi, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 325/341/34/174A of IPC. It is stated that in the month of September, 2014, with the intervention of respected members of society, the matter was settled between the parties. After completion of investigation, chargesheet was filed for offence under Sections 325/341/34 of IPC. It is stated that on 03.12.2021, upon filing of chargesheet the learned MM took cognizance of offences and had issued summons to the petitioners *vide* order dated 03.12.2021. However, by the time the chargesheet was filed and summons were issued, the petitioners had left the said address, on account of change of accommodation of their father who was government employee. Thereafter, on account of non-appearance of the petitioners, the learned Trial Court had issued process under Section 82 of Cr.P.C. and *vide* order dated 13.09.2023 had declared the petitioners as proclaimed offenders. On 21.09.2024, during the pendency of said case, the petitioners had come to know about the pendency of present case, and thus appeared before the learned MM. On 16.11.2024, upon the petitioners being declared proclaimed offenders, the learned MM *vide* order dated 16.11.2024 had directed the investigating officer to file supplementary chargesheet under Section 174A of IPC.

5. On 10.05.2025, the petitioners settled the present case with the respondent no.2, and accordingly, offences under Sections 325/341/34 of IPC were compounded *vide* order dated 10.05.2025 before the National Lok Adalat, however, due to offence under Section 174A of IPC being non compoundable, the present matter cannot be put to quietus. Therefore, the petitioners are before this Court for quashing of FIR to the limited extent of offence punishable under Section 174A of IPC.



6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between them *vide* order of Lok Adalat dated 10.05.2025. Respondent no. 2 further states he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 558/2014, registered at Police Station Kalyanpuri, Delhi, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 325/341/34/174A of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. However, the matter has been pending for the last 12 years. It is clear that the parties have taken their own time to inform the court finally that the FIR be quashed. In these circumstances, both, the time of the court and the investigating agency has been consumed. Considering the same, a total cost of Rs. 30,000/- is imposed upon the petitioners. Out of the same, Rs. 20,000/- will go to the complainant and remaining Rs. 10,000/- will be deposited with the Delhi High Court Bar Clerk's Association Fund within a period of seven days from date and the compliance report shall be filed before the Registry.



10. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 07, 2026/zp
td