



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 21/2026**

BITTU SINGH @ GURSEWAKPetitioner
Through: Mr. M.L. Yadav, Mr. Harish Chand,
Mr. Deepak Kumar Ahlawat and Mr.
Anant Chittoria, Advocates.

versus
STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent
Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the
State with SI Ayushi Sinohi, P.S.
Mansarovar Park.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

% **ORDER**
06.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 268/2026 & CRL.M.A. 269/2026 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 21/2026

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No. 218/2025 under Sections 115(2)/118/109(2)/3(5) BNS & 27 Arms Act registered at P.S. Mansarovar Park.
2. Briefly stated, the case of the prosecution is to the effect that on 03.05.2025, at around 11:00 A.M., when Complainant-Mr. Rohit Goswami was present at his office, 15 to 20 persons, armed with weapons, came there and attacked the complainant.
3. Complainant knew three of such assailants, including the petitioner herein.
4. According to him, one assailant i.e. Mr. Diler Singh had shot at him but he was fortunate as it did not hit him.

BAIL APPLN. 21/2026

1



5. Besides the complainant, two more persons received injuries but, fact remains that such injuries were opined to be simple in nature.
6. Learned counsel for petitioner says that petitioner is innocent and moreover the story of use of firearm stands falsified owing to the fact that no empty cartridge or shell was recovered from the spot.
7. He also contends that his co-accused, who had allegedly used the firearm, has already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court and in this regard, he has drawn attention of the Court to the order dated 27.11.2025 passed in BAIL APPLN. 4093/2025.
8. Admittedly, the role of the petitioner herein is somewhat milder than the abovesaid co-accused Mr. Diler Singh.
9. It seems that the learned Court of Sessions did not accede to the request for grant of bail, primarily for the reason that accused was having bad antecedents and was already involved in case of murder. Fact remains that the abovesaid murder case is of the year 2020 in which the petitioner is already on bail.
10. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner has been in judicial custody for more than 7 months and the investigation is already over and chargesheet has already been filed and the Court is at the verge of ascertaining the charges.
11. Learned APP for the State appears on advance notice and, in all fairness, admits that there was no recovery of any cartridge or shell from the spot. He, however, submits that, since petitioner is already having previous involvement in a serious case, in case he is released on bail in the present matter, there is every likelihood of his indulging in similar kind of activities, again.



12. Fact, however, remains that the person who had, allegedly, attempted to commit murder by using firearm is on bail and the investigation is also complete.

13. Keeping in mind the overall facts of the case, petitioner Rohit Goswami, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in a sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/CMM/Duty Magistrate and further subject to the following conditions:

- (i) Petitioner shall appear before the Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing.
- (ii) Petitioner shall provide his mobile number to the IO concerned which shall not be changed, without prior intimation to the Investigating Officer concerned.
- (iii) Petitioner shall not come in contact with the complainant or any other witnesses.

14. The application stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

15. A copy of this Order be immediately sent to the learned Trial Court and Jail Superintendent for information and necessary compliance.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 6, 2026/ss/pb