



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 67/2026**

PAYAL AHUJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Bhatti, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

VIJAY AHUJA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Vijay Ahuja/respondent in person
(through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

06.01.2026

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the Criminal Complaint bearing no. 522523/2016, pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate (First Class-08), Central District, Tis Hazari Court, under Section 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1972 (hereafter '*CrPC*'), for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 406/420/467/464/468/471/120B/211 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. The petitioner and respondent are present before this Court.
3. Brief facts of the case as per the case of the complainant are that the complainant is the sole proprietor of M/s V.S. Pharmaceuticals, engaged in the trading of pharmaceutical products. The petitioner is the real sister of the



complainant's wife, and the petitioner and her husband were engaged in the business of pharmaceutical raw materials and finished products through their companies, M/s Womb Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. and M/s P&G Ahuja Biotech Ltd., operating from Ahmedabad and Delhi. It is stated that taking advantage of the close familial relationship, the accused persons induced the complainant to invest and transact on false assurances of business benefits. Between 2008–2009 and 2010–2011, the complainant had transferred various amounts through bank transactions to M/s Womb Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., out of which a sum of ₹41,04,315/- remained outstanding. During the same period, pharmaceutical goods were supplied to M/s P&G Ahuja Biotech Ltd., against sale invoices for 2009–2010, leaving an unpaid amount of ₹20,91,822/-. It is further stated that in January/February 2009, the complainant had kept certain blank signed cheques in his office for EMI security of an HDFC Bank auto loan, though the loan was repaid through ECS and the cheques were never issued. Upon discovering that the cheques were missing, the complainant lodged NCR No. 354/2011 dated 28.03.2011 at PS Chandni Chowk and issued stop-payment instructions. Despite repeated demands for repayment, the accused avoided payment and extended threats. In July 2013, the complainant received notices under Section 138 of the NI Act based on the said stolen cheques, which had been filled in fraudulently by the accused without authority. The complainant alleges offences of cheating, forgery, criminal breach of trust, intimidation, and false prosecution under the IPC. Thereafter, the present complaint was filed before the learned Trial Court.

4. The learned Trial Court issued summons to the accused (including petitioner), taking cognizance for offence under Section 379/465/506 of the



IPC.

5. It is stated that the parties have now amicably settled the dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 06.09.2025 executed at the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, he has no objection if the present complaint is quashed.

7. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned complaint and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the complaint in question.

8. Accordingly, Criminal Complaint bearing no. 522523/2016, pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate (First Class-08), Central District, Tis Hazari Court, and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, are set aside and quashed.

9. The petition stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 06, 2026/vc

TD