



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 15/2026, CRL.M.A. 26/2026

SAHIL SALMANI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Manoj Kumar Lohat, Advocate
with petitioners in person.
Mob-9213630390

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP with
Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh,
Advocate with PSI Bhanu, PS:
North Rohini
Mr. Tarun Walia, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

05.01.2026

%

1. *Vide* the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***) (earlier *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.28/2012 dated 24.01.2012 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at P.S. North Rohini, Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Agreement dated 10.10.2025 arrived at between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi.

2. This petition is accompanied by the Settlement Agreement dated 10.10.2025 [***Annexure- P3***] and is also supported by affidavits of all the



petitioners and of respondent no.2, alongwith their respective identity proofs.

3. Additionally, the petitioners and respondent no.2, present in Court, have been identified by the Investigating Officer and their credentials have also been duly verified by the Investigating Officer.

4. Issue Notice.

5. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. He confirms that he has no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

6. Respondent no.2 confirms that the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide Decree of Declaration dated 12.12.2025. She further affirms that pursuant to the Settlement Agreement dated 10.10.2025, the petitioner no.1 has paid her Rs.4,00,000/- in full and final settlement of all her claims including alimony, maintenance (present, past and future), stridhan etc. As such, she states that she has no objection to the quashing of the present FIR.

7. In view of the fact that a settlement has already been arrived at between the parties, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions contained therein. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. Vs. Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. Consequently, the FIR No.28/2012 dated 24.01.2012 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. North Rohini, Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating



therefrom are quashed.

9. The petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JANUARY 5, 2026/So