



\$~34 & 35

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 2/2026

BHADRA INTERNATIONAL (INDIA) PVT LTD AND ORS &  
ORS. ....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Akshit Mago, Adv.

versus

AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sonal Kumar Singh, Ms. Sukanya  
Lal & Mr. Anmol Adhrit, Adv.

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 11/2026

BHADRA INTERNATIONAL (INDIA) PVT LTD AND ORS &  
ORS. ....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Akshit Mago, Adv.

versus

AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sonal Kumar Singh, Ms. Sukanya  
Lal & Mr. Anmol Adhrit, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

**ORDER**

**05.01.2026**

%

1. These petitions have been filed seeking extension of the mandate of the Sole Arbitrator by four months with effect from 30.11.2025.
2. The Sole Arbitrator reserved the award on 06.08.2025. There was an earlier round of litigation between the parties which is pending by way of a petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. On 21.11.2025, the Sole Arbitrator sought certain clarifications from the parties



and also an update on the status of the pending litigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issues involved in the present petition overlaps with those arising in the earlier round of litigation. Hence, an extension of time is sought.

4. Reliance is placed upon the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited 2024 INSC 686** and the relevant paragraph is as under:-

“19. In view of the above discussion, we hold that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be. The court while adjudicating such extension applications will be guided by the principle of sufficient cause and our observations in paragraph 15 of the judgment.”

5. Learned counsel for the respondent raises no serious objection however, contends that the matter be decided within the extended period.

6. Accordingly, the mandate of the Sole Arbitrator is extended by four months with effect from 30.11.2025, as prayed for.

7. These petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J**

**JANUARY 5, 2026**

Ch