



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 13/2026 with CM APPL. 28/2026 and CM APPL. 29/2026
RAJA BABUPetitioner
Through: Mr. Om Srivastava, Advocate.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF
DELHI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Ms. Vidhi Jain, Advocate for R-
1/MCD.
Mr. Himanshu Kaushik, Mr.
Rupender Sharma and Mr. Aaransh
Pathak, Advocates for R-2.
Mr. Saifi Ahmad, Advocate for R-3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
05.01.2026

%

1. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.1/MCD submits that there is a mismatch between the photographs filed with the petition as well as the complaints which are annexed with the petition. He submits that the complaints refer to property no. E-112, Shaheen Bagh, Okhla, whereas the photographs are in respect of House No. E-192.
2. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.3 on advance notice submits that respondent no.3 is the owner and occupier of property No.E-192 and not E-112.
3. Counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with



liberty to take steps in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

5. All pending applications stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 5, 2026

Vivek/-