



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9331/2025 & CRL.M.A. 38938-38939/2025**

NAVEEN ALIAS NAVEEN KUMAR AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: **Mr. B.P. Vaishnav, Advocate with
petitioners in person.**

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondent

Through: **Mr. Yudghvir Singh Chauhan, APP
with WSI Sunita Kumari and SI
Om Yadav, PS-Palam Village.
Mr. Birjesh Sharma, Advocate for
R-2 with R-2 in person.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

**ORDER
13.03.2026**

%

1. This matter has been received on transfer
2. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 482/2018 dated 22.12.2018 registered at PS.: Palam Village, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the settlement dated 01.04.2023 [***Annexure P2***] arrived at between the petitioner No.1 and the respondent no.2 before the Mediaton Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.
3. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits



that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, who present in person, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid settlement dated 01.04.2023, whereby the petitioner No.1 has already paid her a sum of Rs.3,75,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 27.10.2023, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 482/2018 dated 22.12.2018 registered at PS.: Palam Village, Delhi under *Sections*



498A/406/34 of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 13, 2026/NA