



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 1058/2025**

M/S TAURANT PROJECTS LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Jyoti Nambiar & Mr. Kunal
Narwal, Advs.

versus

M/S GAIL INDIA LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. N.L. Ganapathi & Mr. Avesh
Harshan, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

06.01.2026

%

1. This petition is filed for seeking extension of period for concluding the arbitration proceedings. The arbitration is at the stage of evidence and considering the nature of the controversy involved, time was consumed in the process.

2. Learned counsel for the non-applicant on instructions has no serious objection to the prayer made.

3. Reliance is placed upon the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited 2024 INSC 686** and the relevant paragraph is as under:-

“19. In view of the above discussion, we hold that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as



the case may be. The court while adjudicating such extension applications will be guided by the principle of sufficient cause and our observations in paragraph 15 of the judgment.”

4. In view of the above, the time period for conclusion of the proceedings is extended by twelve months.
5. This petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

JANUARY 6, 2026
‘JK’