



2026:DHC:538



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 22.01.2026

+

BAIL APPLN. 4955/2025 & CRL.M.A. 38226/2025

SAJAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankaj Giri, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for State
with SI Mukesh Kumar.**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****JUDGMENT (ORAL)**

1. The accused/applicant seeks regular bail in case FIR No. 88/2025 of PS Crime Branch for offence under Section 20/25/29 NDPS Act.
2. Broadly speaking, the prosecution case is as follows. On the basis of a secret information, a raiding team was constituted by local police on the night intervening 11.04.2025 and 12.04.2025. At the informed spot, the co-accused, whose name was later revealed as Raja Kumar was apprehended on a two-wheeler scooter, on footrest whereof 23.900 kg *ganja* kept in a white plastic bag was recovered and seized. On disclosure of co-accused Raja, the police arrested the present accused/applicant and from the bag carried by

*BAIL APPLICATION 4955/2025**Page 1 of 4 pages*

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: NEETU N NAIR
Signing Date: 22.01.2026
18:06:19GIRISH
KATHPALIADigitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI, ou=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI, email=girish.kathpalia@nic.in, c=IN
Date: 2026.01.22 18:07:08 +05'30'





2026:DHC:538



accused persons would be relevant only if there is cogent evidence of conspiracy between multiple accused persons. Otherwise, each accused person is answerable for the respective recovery from him/her.

6. As regards co-accused Raja, the recovery of *ganja* from him was 23.900kg, which in itself is commercial quantity. That is not the case with the present accused/applicant. From the present accused/applicant, quantity of *ganja* recovered is 12.235 kg, which is not commercial quantity.

7. To establish conspiracy between the present accused/applicant and co-accused Raja, one of the material collected is the alleged video chat between the two of them, in which the present accused/applicant showed sample of *ganja* to co-accused Raja. But on being directed to show that video chat, what the IO has shown is simply a few seconds clip of video depicting some bushes material kept in a plastic bag. After the video is played, the IO admits that it is not the video chat between the accused/applicant and the co-accused Raja. Now it is stated by the IO that this clip was found by the FSL in the pictures gallery of mobile phone of the accused/applicant. Another material relied upon by prosecution to show conspiracy is the alleged financial transactions between the accused/applicant and the co-accused Raja. Those financial transactions are to the total tune of hardly Rs.20,000/- which cannot be connected with a contraband going by cost thereof. Lastly, the evidence relied upon by prosecution to show conspiracy are Call Detail Reports between the accused/applicant and co-accused Raja. But Call Detail Reports establish nothing except only telephonic connectivity between two



2026:DHC:538



persons. The same do not give any hint of what was the conversation between the two persons.

8. Admittedly, the accused/applicant is aged only 19 years and has no antecedents. Even the prosecution witnesses are only police witnesses, so there is no likelihood of the accused/applicant threatening anyone.

9. Considering the above circumstances, I do not find any reason to deprive further liberty to accused/applicant. Therefore, the bail application is allowed and accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court. Of course, nothing observed in this order shall be read to the prejudice of either side at the final stage of the trial. Accompanying application also stands disposed of.

10. A copy of this order be immediately transmitted to the concerned Jail Superintendent for informing the accused/applicant.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=a401d6889527a7757656fffe4afee
45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626caca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN=7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15
570996b4080cd2ee60402c487965f801
c26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.01.22 18:00:29 +08'00'

GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)

JANUARY 22, 2026/ry